



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_3846
Title of the Manuscript:	Developing an Integrated Management Approach for the Fruit Fly <i>Dacus punctatifrons</i> on Tomatoes

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Can you please include economics of the treatments and cost benefit ratio (C:B ratio) to be worked out. 2. Can you please mention experimental plot size under materials and methods.	1. Effected. Table 5 showing the cost benefit ratio of each treatment has been included. 2. The plot size has also been included under the materials and methods.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The seeds of <i>Piper Guineense</i> may be expensive to small farmers (in my opinion). Please suggest any other options if available. 2. Large scale field trials are required for confirming the results.	1. The cost benefit ratios provided above show that it is not expensive. The leaves of <i>Piper guineense</i> are also active but large quantities are needed which is difficult to obtain. 2. This forms part of the next stage of the study.